

On the Construction for Quantum Code $((n, K, d))_p$ via Logic Function over $\mathbb{F}_p$

Shuqin Zhong, Zhi Ma, Yajie Xu and Xin Lü
Zhengzhou Information Science and Technology Institute
Zhengzhou, 450002, China
Email: lavenderzhong@live.cn

Abstract—This paper studies the construction for quantum codes with parameters $((n, K, d))_p$ by use of an n -variable logic function with APC distance $d' \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, where d is related to d' . We obtain $d \leq d'$ and the maximal K for all $d = d' - k$, $0 \leq k \leq d' - 2$. We also discuss the basic states and the equivalent conditions of saturating quantum Singleton bound.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum error correcting code [1], [2], [3], [4] has become an indispensable element in many quantum information tasks such as the fault-tolerant quantum computation [5] the quantum key distribution [6] and the entanglement purification [7], [8], to fight the noises.

Early in 1998, Calderbank [9] presented systematic mathematical methods to construct binary quantum codes (stabilizer codes) from classical error correcting codes over $\mathbb{F}_2$ or $\mathbb{F}_4$. A series of good binary quantum codes were constructed by using classical codes (BCH codes, Reed-Muller codes, AG codes, etc.). Schlingemann and Werner [10] proposed a new way to construct quantum stabilizer codes by finding certain graphs (or matrices) with special properties. Using this method they constructed several new non-binary quantum codes. In particular, they gave a new proof on the existence of quantum code $[[5, 1, 3]]_p$ for all odd primes p (the first proof was given by Rain [11]). It seems that this method can be used to obtain many quantum codes saturating quantum Singleton bound (For any code $[[n, k, d]]_p$, the quantum Singleton bound says that $n \geq k + 2d - 2$, see [3] for $p = 2$ and [11] for $p \geq 3$). We call this kind of quantum codes quantum MDS codes. At the same time, Feng Keqin [12] showed there existed quantum codes $[[6, 2, 3]]_p$ and $[[7, 3, 3]]_p$ for any prime number p . Liu Tailin [13] proved the existence of quantum codes $[[8, 2, 4]]_p$ and $[[n, n - 2, 2]]_p$ for all odd prime numbers p .

In the correspondence, researchers made use of Boolean functions and projection operators [14] to find quantum error correcting codes. In Ref [15], the author constructed quantum code with parameters $[[n, 0, d]]_p$, where d is the APC distance of a Boolean function. Xu [16] generalized the definition of APC distance for Boolean functions to logic functions over $\mathbb{F}_p$, then constructed quantum code $((n, K, d))_p$, where d is related to APC distance of an n -variable function over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Before talking further more about the ideas and results of this paper, we need to introduce the logic construction of Ref [16] which will be used in this paper.

For $d' \geq 2$, let $f(x)$ be a function with n variables and APC distance d' over $\mathbb{F}_p$. $\beta_i = (\beta_{i1}, \dots, \beta_{in}) \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ for all $1 \leq i \leq K$.

Lemma 1: [16] The space spanned by $\{|\psi_i\rangle = p^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta^{f(x) + \beta_i x} |x\rangle | 1 \leq i \leq K \}$ is a quantum code with parameters $((n, K, d))_p$ satisfying:

$$d = \min\{W_s(u, v) | \exists 1 \leq i \leq j \leq K, W_s(u, v - \beta_i + \beta_j) \geq d'\},$$

where ζ is a primitive element in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

This result was proved by Xu in [16]. Following the work of Xu, we discussed the parameters and basic states of the constructed quantum code. The main results proved in this paper are:

Theorem 1: Quantum code $((n, K, d))_p$ spanned by

$$\{|\psi_i\rangle = p^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta^{f(x) + \beta_i x} |x\rangle | 1 \leq i \leq K\}$$

is with following properties:

- 1) $d \leq d'$,
- 2) $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_K = 0$ for $d = d'$,
- 3) $W_H(\beta_i, \beta_j) \leq k$ for all $d' = d - k$ if $0 < k \leq d' - 2$.

Theorem 2: If quantum code $((n, K, d))_p$ is spanned by

$$\{|\psi_i\rangle = p^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta^{f(x) + \beta_i x} |x\rangle | 1 \leq i \leq K\}.$$

Then,

$$K = \begin{cases} 1, & d = d' \\ \leq p, & d = d' - 1 \\ \leq \max p^{k-2}(1 + n(p-1), p^2), & d = d' - k \end{cases},$$

where $2 \leq k \leq d' - 2$.

We state the logic description of quantum codes in Section II and the proof of our main results in Section III. Section IV is largely devoted to the basic states and equivalent conditions of constructing quantum codes saturating quantum Singleton Bound. Conclusions are drawn in Section V.

II. A LOGIC DESCRIPTION OF QUANTUM CODES

The logic description of quantum codes given by [16] can be stated in following element way.

Let $f(x)$ be a function of n variables over $\mathbb{F}_p$, the quantum state $|\psi_f\rangle = p^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta^{f(x)} |x\rangle$ is called logic state corresponding to $f(x)$, where ζ is a primitive element in

$\mathbb{F}_p$. Specially, $|\psi_f\rangle$ is called Boolean state corresponding to Boolean function $f(x)$ if $p = 2$.

Denote quantum error as $E_{(a,b)} = X(a)Z(b)$. Then,

$$E(a,b)|\psi_f\rangle = p^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \xi^{f(x-a)+b(x-a)} \quad (1)$$

where ξ is a primitive element in $\mathbb{F}_p$, $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$, namely,

$$|\psi_f\rangle \rightarrow E(a,b)|\psi_f\rangle \Leftrightarrow f(x) \rightarrow f(x-a) + b(x-a) \quad (2)$$

Let $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ be the vector space of dimension n over $\mathbb{F}_p$ with the following inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ defined by

$$(a,b) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \quad (3)$$

for any $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$. For convenience, denote (a,b) as $a \cdot b$.

For K different vectors $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K$ and an n -variable function $f(x)$, $g_i(x) = f(x) + \beta_i \cdot x$, $1 \leq i \leq K$ are K different functions. Further more,

$$|\psi_i\rangle = p^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta^{g_i(x)} |x\rangle, 1 \leq i \leq K \quad (4)$$

are K different logical states. Since,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta^{f(x)-f(x)+(\beta_i-\beta_j) \cdot x} = 0, \quad (5)$$

we have $\langle \psi_i | \psi_j \rangle = 0$, namely, $|\psi_i\rangle, 1 \leq i \leq K$ are co-orthogonal.

Definition 1: The symmetrical distance between a and b is defined by

$$W_s(a,b) = \#\{i | 1 \leq i \leq n, (a_i, b_i) \neq (0,0)\}, \quad (6)$$

where $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$.

Definition 2: [15] Let $f(x)$ be an n -variable Boolean function. The APC distance of $f(x)$ is the minimum $W_s(a,b)$, where $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ satisfying:

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x)-f(x-a)-b \cdot x} \neq 0. \quad (7)$$

Xu [16] generalized the definition of APC distance for a Boolean function to logic function over $\mathbb{F}_p$ as following.

Definition 3: [16] Let $f(x)$ be an n -variable function over $\mathbb{F}_p$. The APC distance of $f(x)$ is defined by the minimum $W_s(a,b)$, where $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ satisfying:

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta^{f(x-a)+b \cdot x - f(x)} \neq 0, \quad (8)$$

where ζ is a primitive element in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Definition 4: The Hamming distance between a and b is defined by

$$W_H(a,b) = \#\{i | 1 \leq i \leq n, a_i \neq b_i\} \quad (9)$$

with $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$.

III. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

In this section, let $f(x)$ be an n -variable function with APC distance $d' \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and $\beta_i = (\beta_{i1}, \dots, \beta_{in}) \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ for all $1 \leq i \leq K$.

For function $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, constructing quantum code $((n,K,d))_p$ by Lemma 1 is to find a group of vectors, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K$, with special properties. The following theorem tells the properties of $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K$.

Theorem 1: Quantum code $((n,K,d))_p$ spanned by

$$\{|\psi_i\rangle = p^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta^{f(x)+\beta_i \cdot x} |x\rangle | 1 \leq i \leq K\}$$

is with following properties:

- 1) $d \leq d'$,
- 2) $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_K = 0$ for $d = d'$,
- 3) $W_H(\beta_i, \beta_j) \leq k$ for all $d' = d - k$ if $0 < k \leq d' - 2$.

Proof: We prove $d \leq d'$ in two separate way firstly.

Case 1: $\exists 1 \leq i_0 < j_0 \leq K$ satisfying $W_H(\beta_{i_0}, \beta_{j_0}) = t > 0$. Then it is reasonable to suppose $\beta_{2i} - \beta_{1i} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $\beta_{2i} = \beta_{1i}$ for all $t+1 \leq i \leq n$.

If $t \geq d'$, set $u_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $v_0 = 0$. Thus,

$$W_s(u_0, v_0 - \beta_{i_0} + \beta_{j_0}) = t \geq d'.$$

$$d = \min \{W_s(u,v) | \exists 1 \leq i \leq j \leq K, W_s(u, v - \beta_i + \beta_j) \geq d'\}$$

$$\leq W_s(u_0, v_0) < d'.$$

If $t < d'$, set $u_0 = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_t, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{d'-t}, 0, \dots, 0)$, $v_0 = 0$.

Then,

$$W_s(u_0, v_0 - \beta_1 + \beta_2) = d'$$

$$d \leq W_s(u_0, v_0) = d' - t < d'$$

Therefore,

$$d \leq d'$$

if $\exists 1 \leq i_0 < j_0 \leq K$ satisfying $W_H(\beta_{i_0}, \beta_{j_0}) = t > 0$.

Case 2: $\beta_i = \beta_j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq K$. Suppose $W_H(\beta_i) = t$.

If $t \geq d'$, set $u_0 = (\underbrace{1, 0, \dots, 0}_{n-1})$, $v_0 = 0$. Accordingly,

$$W_s(u_0, v_0 - \beta_1 + \beta_2) = t \geq d',$$

$$d \leq W_s(u_0, v_0) < d'.$$

If $t < d'$, set $u_0 = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_t, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{d'-t}, 0, \dots, 0)$, $v_0 = 0$. As

a result,

$$W_s(u_0, v_0 - \beta_1) = d',$$

$$d \leq W_s(u_0, v_0) = d' - t \leq d'.$$

Therefore,

$$d \leq d'$$

if $\beta_i = \beta_j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq K$.

We now prove $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_K = 0$ if $d = d'$.

First, we prove $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_K$. Suppose $\exists 1 \leq i_0 < j_0 \leq K$ satisfying $W_H(\beta_{i_0}, \beta_{j_0}) = t > 0$. Hence, it is reasonable to suppose $i_0 = 1, j_0 = 2$ and $\beta_{2i} - \beta_{1i} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$, $\beta_{2i} - \beta_{1i} = 0$ for all $t+1 \leq i \leq n$.

If $t \geq d'$, set $u_0 = (1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, 0)$, $v_0 = 0$. Consequently,

$$W_s(u_0, v_0 - \beta_1 + \beta_2) = t > d',$$

$$d \leq W_s(u_0, v_0) = 1 < d'.$$

If $t < d'$, set $u_0 = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_t, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{d'-t}, 0, \dots, 0)$, $v_0 = 0$.

Hence,

$$W_s(u_0, v_0 - \beta_1 + \beta_2) = d',$$

$$d \leq W_s(u_0, v_0) = d' - t < d'.$$

A contradiction, therefore $W_H(\beta_i, \beta_j) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Hence, $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_K$. Denote $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K$ as β_1 .

Second, we prove $\beta_1 = 0$. Suppose $W_H(\beta_1) = t > 0$, thus, it is reasonable to suppose $\beta_{1i} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $\beta_{2i} - \beta_{1i} = 0$ for all $t+1 \leq i \leq n$.

If $t \geq d'$, set $u_0 = (1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, 0)$. As a result,

$$W_s(u_0, v_0 - \beta_1) = t,$$

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \min \{W_s(u, v) \mid W_s(u, v - \beta_1) \geq d'\} \\ &\leq W_s(u_0, v_0) < d'. \end{aligned}$$

If $t < d'$, set $u_0 = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_t, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{d'-t}, 0, \dots, 0)$, $v_0 = 0$.

Consequently,

$$W_s(u_0, v_0 - \beta_1) = d',$$

$$d \leq W_s(u_0, v_0) = d' - t < d'.$$

A contradiction, therefore, $W_H(\beta_1) = 0$.

This completes the proof of property 2).

We now prove property 3). Suppose $\exists 1 \leq i_0 < j_0 \leq K$ satisfying $W_H(\beta_{i_0}, \beta_{j_0}) \geq k+1$. Then it is reasonable to suppose $i_0 = 1, j_0 = 2$. Denote $W_H(\beta_1, \beta_2) = t$, where

$t \geq k+1$. Thus it is reasonable to suppose $\beta_{1i} \neq \beta_{2i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $\beta_{2i} - \beta_{1i} = 0$ for all $t+1 \leq i \leq n$.

If $t \geq d'$, set $u_0 = (1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, 0)$, $v_0 = 0$. Hence,

$$W_s(u_0, v_0 - \beta_1 + \beta_2) = t \geq d'.$$

$$d \leq W_s(u_0, v_0) < d' - k.$$

If $t < d'$, set $u_0 = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_t, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{d'-t}, 0, \dots, 0)$, $v_0 = 0$.

Accordingly,

$$W_s(u_0, v_0 - \beta_1 + \beta_2) = t \geq d',$$

$$d \leq W_s(u_0, v_0) = d' - t \leq d' - k - 1.$$

A contradiction, therefore $W_H(\beta_i, \beta_j) \leq k$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq K$ if $0 < k \leq d' - 2$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

Remark 1: It can be easily seen from Theorem 1 that if the following conditions satisfy:

- 1) There exists an n -variable function with APC distance $d' \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$,
- 2) A group of vectors $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K$ over $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ satisfy $W_H(\beta_i, \beta_j) \leq k$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq K$.

Quantum code $((n, K, d' - k))_p$ can be constructed by Lemma 1.

In the following theorem, we are going to deal with the parameter K .

Theorem 2: If quantum code $((n, K, d))_p$ is spanned by $\{|\psi_i\rangle = p^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \zeta^{f(x) + \beta_i x} |x\rangle \mid 1 \leq i \leq K\}$. Then

$$K = \begin{cases} 1, & d = d' \\ \leq p, & d = d' - 1 \\ \leq \max p^{k-2}(1 + n(p-1), p^2), & d = d' - k \end{cases},$$

where $2 \leq k \leq d' - 2$.

Proof:

- 1) For $d = d'$, it can be deduced from Theorem 1 that

$$\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_K = 0.$$

Thus,

$$K = 1.$$

- 2) For $d = d' - 1$, let $W_{ij} = W_H(\beta_i, \beta_j)$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Suppose $K > p$. Then there exists $1 \leq i_0 < j_0 \leq K$ satisfying $W_{i_0 j_0} \geq 2$, a contradiction, thus

$$K \leq p.$$

- 3) Denote C_n^t as the number of vectors where the Hamming distance between each other is no more than t .

For $k = 2$, since $W_H(\beta_i, \beta_j) \leq 2$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq K$ by Theorem 2.

Case 1: If $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K$ are the same in $n-2$ bits. It can be deduced that $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K$ are different in at most 2 bits, hence,

$$K \leq p^2.$$

Case 2: If that $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K$ are the same in $n-2$ bits doesn't satisfy, then, K is the maximal when the different bits are all n bits. Thus,

$$K \leq (p-1)n+1$$

Therefore, $K \leq \max\{p^2, (p-1)n+1\}$ for $d = d' - 2$. For $3 \leq k \leq d' - 2$, since $W_H(\beta_i, \beta_j) \leq k$ by Theorem 1 for all $1 \leq i < j \leq K$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} K &= C_n^k \leq p C_{n-1}^{k-1} \leq \dots \leq p^{k-2} C_{n-k+2}^2 \\ &\leq \max p^{k-2} \{1 + (n-k+2)(p-1), p^2\} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. $\blacksquare$

Remark 2: It can be inferred from Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 that for an n -variable function with APC distance $d' \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, quantum code with parameters $((n, K, d))_p$ can be constructed by Lemma 1 where $d \leq d'$. Furthermore, if $d = d' - k, 0 \leq k \leq d' - 2$, then $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K$ should satisfy $W_H(\beta_i, \beta_j) \leq t$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq K$. At the same time, we obtain the maximal K .

IV. BASIC STATES AND EQUIVALENT CONDITIONS OF CONSTRUCTING QUANTUM MDS CODES

A. The basic states of the constructed quantum code

In this subsection, denote β_i as $\beta_i = (\beta_{i1}, \dots, \beta_{in})$.

For an n -variable function with APC distance d' over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K$, quantum code $((n, K, d))_p$ can be constructed by Lemma 1. The basic states of the constructed quantum code can be stated as following:

If $p \geq n - k + 1$, then

$$p^k \geq p^{k-2} + p^{k-2}(p-1)(n-k+2).$$

Let

$$K = p^k.$$

At this time, we set $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K$ be vectors that the first k bits run all over $\mathbb{F}_p^k$ and the last $n-k$ bits are zeros. Namely,

$$\beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_p \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq k \quad (10)$$

$$\beta_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } k+1 \leq j \leq n \quad (11)$$

where $1 \leq i \leq p^k$. It can be checked that $W_H(\beta_i, \beta_j) \leq k$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq p^k$, thus, the space spanned by formula (4) corresponding to $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K$ satisfying formula (10) and (11) is a quantum code with parameters $((n, K, d' - k))_p$.

If $p < n - k + 1$, then $p^{k-2} + p^{k-2}(n-k+2)(p-1) + 1 > p^k$. Let

$$K = p^{k-2} + p^{k-2}(n-k+2)(p-1).$$

At this time, we set $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K$ be vectors that the first $k-2$ bits run all over $\mathbb{F}_p^{k-2}$, the $k+l-2$ -th bit run all over $\mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\}$, $1 \leq l \leq n-k+2$. Namely,

$$\beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_p \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq k-2 \quad (12)$$

$$\beta_{i, k+l-2} \in \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\} \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq n-k+2 \quad (13)$$

and the rest bits are all zeros. It can be easily checked that

$$W_H(\beta_i, \beta_j) \leq k-2+2 = k$$

for all $1 \leq i < j \leq K$, thus, the space spanned by formula (4) corresponding to $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K$ satisfying formula (12) and formula (13) is a quantum code with parameters

$$((n, p^{k-2} + p^{k-2}(p-1)(n-k+2), d' - k))_p.$$

B. The equivalent conditions of constructing quantum MDS codes

Theory of quantum code has quantum singleton bound as classical code. Quantum codes saturating quantum Singleton Bound are quantum MDS codes. The following theorem presents the equivalent conditions of quantum MDS codes constructed by Lemma 1.

Theorem 3: Quantum code $((n, K, d' - k))_p$ is constructed by Lemma 1, where $d' - k \leq \frac{n}{2} + 1$. Then it saturates quantum Singleton Bound if and only if the following conditions satisfy:

- 1) If $k = 0$, then there exists an n -variable function over $\mathbb{F}_p$ with APC distance d' over $\mathbb{F}_p$, where $d' = \frac{n}{2} + 1$ and n is even,
- 2) If $k = 1$, then there exists an n -variable function with APC distance d' over $\mathbb{F}_p$, where $d' = \frac{n}{2} + 1$,
- 3) If $2 \leq k \leq d'$ and $p \geq n - k + 1$, then there exists an n -variable function with APC distance d' over $\mathbb{F}_p$, where $2d' = n + k + 2$,
- 4) If $2 \leq k \leq d'$ and $p < n - k + 1$, then there exists an n -variable function with APC distance d' over $\mathbb{F}_p$, where $p^{k-2} + p^{k-2}(n-k+2)(p-1) = p^{n-2(d'-k)+2}$.

Proof: Let quantum code $((n, K, d' - k))_p$ be constructed by Lemma 1.

- 1) If $k = 0$, then

$$K = 1$$

by Theorem 2. Thus, the quantum code saturates Quantum Singleton Bound if and only if

$$n - 2d' + 2 = 0.$$

- 2) If $k = 1$, we get

$$K \leq n(p-1) + 1$$

by Theorem 2. Thus, the quantum code saturates Quantum Singleton Bound if and only if

$$n(p-1) + 1 = p^{n-2d'+4}.$$

- 3) If $2 \leq k \leq d'$ and $p \geq n - k + 1$,

$$K \leq p^k$$

by Theorem 2. Thus, the quantum code saturates Quantum Singleton Bound if and only if

$$k = n - 2(d' - k) + 2 \Leftrightarrow 2d' = n + k + 2.$$

4) If $2 \leq k \leq d'$ and $p < n - k + 1$,

$$K < p^{k-2} + p^{k-2}(n - k + 2)(p - 1)$$

by Theorem 2. Thus, the quantum code saturates Quantum Singleton Bound if and only if

$$p^{k-2} + p^{k-2}(n - k + 2)(p - 1) = p^{n-2(d'-k)+2}.$$

This completes the proof of this Theorem. ■

V. CONCLUSION

Ref. [16] presented a new way to construct quantum error correcting codes. Quantum error correcting codes can be constructed by use of logic functions with n variables and APC distance $d' \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. The minimum distance of the constructed quantum code is $d = d' - t$ ($0 \leq t \leq d' - 2$). We can also get the maximal dimension of the corresponding space. In this paper, we also give the basic states and the equivalent conditions for existence of quantum MDS codes.

It can be seen that logic functions with favorable APC distance play a key role in logic construction for quantum codes. The presented paper is to re-cast the construction of QECCs as a problem of construction logic function with favorable APC distance. Ref [17] proposed a quadratic residue construction for Boolean function with favorable APC distance. For an n -variable function over $\mathbb{F}_p$, how to compute the APC distance fast is still a problem to be researched.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by the NFS of China under Grant number 60403004 and the Outstanding Youth Foundation of Henan Province under Grant No.0612000500.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. W. Shor, "Scheme for Reducing Decoherence in Quantum Computer Memory," *Phys. Rev. A* 54 (2), pp. 1098–1105, 1995.
- [2] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, J. A. Smolin and W. K. Wootters, "Mixed state entanglement and quantum error correction," *Phys. Rev.* 54 (5), pp. 3824–3851, 1996.
- [3] E. Knill and R. Laflamme, "A Theory of quantum error-correcting code saturating quantum Hamming Bound," *Phys. Rev. A* 55, pp. 900–911, 1997.
- [4] A. M. Steane, "Simple quantum error correcting codes," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77, pp. 793–797, 1996.
- [5] D. Gottesman, "Theory of fault-tolerant quantum computation," *Phys. Rev. A* 57, pp. 127–137, 1998.
- [6] C. H. Bennett and G. Brassard, "Quantum cryptography: public key distribution and coin tossing," *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Computers, Systems, and Signal Processing*, pp. 175–179, 1984.
- [7] S. Glancy, E. Knill and H. M. Vasconcelos, "Entanglement purification of any stabilizer state," *Phys. Rev. A* 74, no. 032319, 2006.
- [8] A. Ambainis and D. Gottesman, "The minimum distance problem for two-way entanglement purification," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 52, pp. 748–753, 2006.
- [9] A. R. Calderbank, E. M. Rains, P. W. Shor and N. J. A. Sloane, "Quantum error correction via codes over $\mathbb{F}_4$," *IEEE Trans. Inform Theory* 44, pp. 1369–1387, 1998.
- [10] D. Schlingemann and R. F. Werner, "Quantum error correcting codes associated with graphs," *Phys. Rev. A* 65, 012308, 2002.
- [11] E. M. Rain, "Nonbinary quantum code," *IEEE Trans. Inform Theory* 45, pp. 1827–1832, 1999.
- [12] K. Q. Feng, "Quantum codes $[[6, 2, 3]]_p$ and $[[7, 3, 3]]_p$ ($p \geq 3$) exist," *IEEE Trans. Inform Theory* 48 (8), pp. 2384–2391, 2002.
- [13] T. L. Liu, "On construction for nonbinary cyclic quantum code via graph," *China Science Inform Theory. E* 35 (6), pp. 588–596, 2005.
- [14] V. Aggarwal and R. Calderbank, "Boolean functions, projection operators and quantum error correction codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform Theory*, 54 (4) PP. 1700–1707, 2008.
- [15] L. E. Danielsen, "On self-dual quantum codes, graphs, and Boolean functions," <http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0503236>, 2005.12.
- [16] Y. J. Xu, "Logic function and quantum code," <http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0712.3605v4>, 2008.01.
- [17] L. E. Danielsen, "Aperiodic Propagation Criteria for Boolean Functions," *In Information and Computation* 204 (5), pp. 741–770, 2006.